

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/939,014	08/24/2001	Janet Marie Wasowicz	2100632-991122	3590	
75	90 01/02/2003				
Timothy W. Lohse Gray Cary Ware & Freidenrich 1755 Embarcadero Road			EXAMINER		
			HARRIS, CHANDA L		
Palo Alto, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3714		
			DATE MAILED: 01/02/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.  09/939,014  Examiner						
Examiner Chanda L. Harris 3714  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will perhy and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Office November 2002.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-133 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) mare subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Chanda L. Harris  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply is specified above its est han thiny (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above its sent in thir (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become RABADONED (50 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three morniths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 November 2002.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-133 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is specified above is tess than thing (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above is tess than thing (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the sat or extended period for reply with, by atabut, cause the application to become ABANDONED (25 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply seclified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (SS U.S. € \$133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 November 2002.  2a)  This action is FINAL.  2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-133 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Office November 2002.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-133 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) see Continuation Sheet is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) see Continuation Sheet is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-133 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-133 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-133 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are rejected.  7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
6) ☐ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
7) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
The trip proposed drawing correction filed on the land over the land ove						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	١					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	•					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6 5) Other:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 1,5,7-14, 17-19,23,25-32, 35-37,41,43-50, 53-55,57,60,62-69, 72-95,99,100-105,109-115,119-125,129-133.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims objected to are 3,4,6,15,16,21,22,24,33,34,39,40,42,51,52,58,59,61,70-71,96-98,106-108,116-118 and 126-128.

Art Unit: 3714

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status of Claims

In response to the Amendment filed on 11/6/02, Claims 1-133 are pending. Claims 2, 20, 38 and 56 are cancelled.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (particularly the documents listed under "OTHER DOCUMENTS") filed, 7/23/02 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. See 1.98 (d) 1-2.

### Claim Objections

Claims 57 and 74-77 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 57 and 74-77 are dependent on cancelled claims 2, 20, 38, and 56. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,014 Page 3

Art Unit: 3714

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5, 7-13, 18-19, 23, 25-31, 36-37, 41, 43-49, 54-55, 57, 60, 62-68, 73-95, 99-100, 102-105, 109-110, 112-115, 119-120,122-125, 129-130, and 132-133 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Corder (US 5,692,906).

- 1. [Claims 1,19, 37,55, 57,74-81,93-95, 100, 104-105,110,114-115,120,124-125,130]: Regarding Claims 1,19, 37, 55, 57, 74-81, 93-95, 100, 104-105, 110, 114-115, 120, 124-125, and 130, Corder discloses a server computer comprising one or more tests for determining deficiencies in one or more reading and pre-reading skills and on or more client computers that may establish a communication session with the server computer, each client computer comprising means for displaying at least one of a graphical image and an audio associated with each test located on the server, means for receiving a user response to one of the graphical images and audio presented by each test means for communicating the responses for each test back to the server computer so that a skill level for each test and each reading or pre-reading skill being test by the test is determined. See Col.11: 59-Col.12: 25, Col.7: 51-52, and Col.14: 19-64. Corder discloses a scorer for determining a score for each test. See Col.12: 18-25.
- 2. [Claims 5, 23, 41,60]: Regarding Claims 5, 23, 41, and 60, Corder discloses wherein the user input device of the one or more client computers comprise a speech

Art Unit: 3714

recognition device for receiving a verbal response from the user to one or more tests. See Col.10: 36-44.

- 3. [Claims 7, 25, 43, 62]: Regarding Claims 7,25, 43, and 62, Corder discloses wherein the tests further comprise a rhyme recognition test further comprising means for providing at least two stimuli to the user and means for receiving user input in response to the at least two stimuli to determine the user's ability to recognize rhyming words. See Col.13: 64.
- 4. [Claims 8, 26, 44,63]: Regarding Claims 8,26, 44, and 63, Corder discloses wherein the tests further comprise a test for recognizing the beginning sound of a stimulus, the test comprising means for generating at least one stimulus having at least an initial phoneme and means for receiving a response to the stimulus that indicates an ability of the test taker to recognize the initial phoneme of the stimulus. See Col.14: 1.
- 5. [Claims 9, 27,45,64]: Regarding Claims 9,27, 45, and 64, Corder discloses wherein the tests further comprise a test for recognizing the ending sound of a stimulus, the test comprising means for generating at least one stimulus having at least an ending phoneme and means for receiving a response to the stimulus that indicates the ability of the test taker to recognize the ending phoneme of the stimulus. See Col.14: 2.
- 6. [Claims 10, 28,46,65]: Regarding Claims 10,28, 46, and 65, Corder discloses wherein the tests further comprise a rhyme generation test comprising means for generating a stimulus and means for receiving a response from the user identifying a sound that rhymes with the stimulus. See Col.13: 51-64.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,014

Art Unit: 3714

7. [Claims 11, 29,47,66]: Regarding Claims 11,29, 47, and 66, Corder discloses wherein the tests further comprise a sound blender test comprising means for generating at least two sound stimuli and means for receiving a user response to the at least two sound stimuli (i.e. medial sounds), the response indicating the ability to blend the at least two sound stimuli into a larger sound unit. See Col.14: 3.

Page 5

- 8. [Claims 12, 30,48,67]: Regarding Claims 12,30, 48, and 67, Corder discloses wherein the tests further comprise a sound segmentation test comprising means for generating at least one stimulus and means for receiving a response to the stimulus comprising means for segmenting the stimulus into smaller units in order to test the ability to segment the stimulus into smaller units. See Col.13: 66-67.
- 9. [Claims 13, 31,49,68]: Regarding Claims 13,31, 49, and 68, Corder discloses herein the tests comprise a sound manipulation test comprising means for generating a sound stimulus having one or more sound units and means, in response to the sound stimulus, for manipulating the sound units of the sound stimulus to test the ability to manipulate sound units. See Col.14: 31-64.
- 10. [Claims18, 36,54,73, 103, 113,123,133]: Regarding Claims 18,36, 54, 73,103, 113, 123, and 133, Corder discloses wherein the tests further comprise a fluency test comprising means for generating a plurality of visual stimuli and means for receiving a user's response to the visual stimuli within a predetermined time interval to determine the user's ability to read and understand the visual stimuli. See Col.14: 19-31.
- 11. [Claims 82-92]: Regarding Claims 82-92, Corder discloses wherein the prereading and reading skills further comprise spelling skills. See Col.5: 4-11.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,014 Page 6

Art Unit: 3714

12. [Claims 99, 102,109, 112,119,122,129,132]: Regarding Claims 99,102, 109, 112, 119, 122, 129, and 132, Corder discloses wherein the computer managed instruction further comprises tracking, over time, the proficiency of the user's phonological skills and establishing the baseline abilities of the user (i.e. trend analysis). See Col.12: 18-25.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14, 17, 32, 35, 50, 53, 69 and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corder in view of Parry et al. (US 6,077,085).

1. [Claims 14,32,50,69]: Regarding Claims 14,32, 50, and 69, Corder does not disclose expressly a verbal recall test comprising means for generating at least one sound stimulus and means, in response to the at least one stimulus, for receiving a user response indicating the recalling of at least one sound stimulus. However, Parry teaches such in Col.24: 61-Col.25: 13. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the aforementioned limitation into the method and system of Corder, in light of the teaching of Parry in order to test learning of phrase or sentence fragments in the right sequence.

2. [Claims 17,35, 53,72]: Regarding Claims 17,35, 53, and 72, Corder does not disclose expressly wherein the tests further comprises a word decoder test comprising means for displaying a visual stimulus to the user and means, in response to the visual stimulus, for receiving a response from the user to determine the ability to read the visual stimulus. However, Parry teaches such in Col.13: 13-20. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the aforementioned limitation into the method and system of Corder, in light of the teaching of Parry, in order to enhance memorization.

Page 7

Claims 101, 111, 121, and 131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corder.

[Claims 101,111,121,131]: Regarding Claims 101,111,121, and 131, Corder does not disclose expressly generating a comparison of the scores of different users of the system. However, generating a comparison of scores of different users of a system is old and well known in the art. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate generating a comparison of scores of different users of the system in order to derive a trend analysis of the performance of the students.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-4, 6, 15-16, 21-22, 24, 33-34, 39-40, 42, 51-52, 58-59, 61, 70-71, 96-98, 106-108, 116-118, and 126-128 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

Application/Control Number: 09/939,014

Art Unit: 3714

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Spector (US 2001/0003030 A1)

-virtual learning environment for children

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s)

of rejection. This action is made NON-FINAL.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chanda L. Harris whose telephone number is 703-308-

8358. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302

for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

JOHN EDMUND ROVNAK PRIMARY FXAMINER

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/939,014

Art Unit: 3714

Page 9

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ch.

December 30, 2002